arXiv:hep-lat/9205027v1 27 May 1992

High-Temperature series for the RP^{n-1} lattice spin model (generalized Maier-Saupe model of nematic liquid crystals) in two space dimensions and with general spin dimensionality n

P. Butera and M. Comi
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano
Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

High temperature series expansions of the spin-spin correlation functions of the RP^{n-1} spin model on the square lattice are computed through order β^8 for general spin dimensionality n.

Tables are reported for the expansion coefficients of the energy per site, the susceptibility and the second correlation moment.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q,64.60.Cn, 64.70.Md, 75.10.Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

Interest in the classical RP^{n-1} spin systems [[1]] on a two-dimensional lattice has been revived recently by the results of a MonteCarlo simulation[[2]] interpreted as evidence of a second order "topological" phase transition, taking place for values of the spin dimensionality $n \geq 3$. This is unexpected according to renormalization group ideas. Indeed the RP^{n-1} models have the same formal continuum limit as the conventional $O(n)$ symmetric $n-$vector spin models, therefore they should belong to the same universality class and should not behave differently for $n \geq 3$ (when $n = 2$ the RP^{n-1} model trivially reduces to the $n-$vector model). However the global topologies of the spin manifolds: the hypersphere S^{n-1} with antipodal points identified in the case of the RP^{n-1} model and simply S^{n-1} in the case of the n-vector model, are different and it has long been known that this might be a reason for different phase diagrams[[3]].

MonteCarlo studies of these systems, mainly in the $n = 3$ case, sometimes with conflicting or not completely convincing results are by now numerous [[4,5,6,7,8,9,10]], and they have been augmented by recent more extensive simulations on large lattices [[2,11,12]] using cluster algorithms[[13]] in order to reduce the critical slowing-down. On the other hand, high temperature expansion (HTE) studies are still practically absent, the only exceptions being, to the best of our knowledge, a series through order β^9 for the internal energy and a series for the mass gap through order β^5 in the $n = 3$ case [[7]]. These expansions have been helpful for a first check of MonteCarlo simulation codes, and series for other quantities and for other values of n would be equally welcome.

We have extended to every value of the spin dimensionality n through order β^8 the computation of the internal energy , and for the first time we have computed series for the susceptibility and the second correlation moment.

These series are probably not long enough to provide, by their own, convincing evidence about the existence, the location and the nature of a possible critical point, but we believe it is useful to make them promptly available so that they can serve not only to check MonteCarlo data, but also for future more extensive high temperature calculations.

We shall explain later why our computational method, based on the Schwinger-Dyson recursion equations[[14]], although very transparent, becomes rapidly cumbersome and therefore is unable, in its present form, to produce substantially longer series.

II. THE HIGH TEMPERATURE SERIES

Let us briefly describe the model and fix our conventions.

The partition function of the model is

$$Z = \int \prod_x ds(x)\delta(s(x)^2 - 1)exp[\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_x \sum_{\mu=1,2} (s(x) \cdot s(x + e_\mu))^2] \tag{1}$$

The variables of the model are n-component classical spins $s(x)$ of unit length associated to each site $x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$ of a 2-dimensional square lattice, e_1 and e_2 are the two elementary lattice vectors.

The Hamiltonian and the integration measure have a global $O(n)/Z_2$ and a local Z_2 invariance. Since in two dimensions continuous symmetries are unbroken[[15]], the most general correlation function $< \phi(C) >$ can be written as

$$< \phi(C) >=< \phi(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n; \{b_{i,j}\}) >=< \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (s(x_i) \cdot s(x_j))^{b_{ij}} > \tag{2}$$

with integer $b_{i,j} \ge 0$. The local invariance under Z_2, which also cannot break[[16]], implies the further restriction that each $s(x_i)$ has to appear in $\phi(C)$ an even number of times.

The correlation function (2) may be represented graphically as follows: the lattice points $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ are taken as vertices and a line connecting the vertices x_i and x_j is associated to each factor $s(x_i) \cdot s(x_j)$ in $\phi(C)$. In terms of graphs the local Z_2 invariance requires that the degree of each vertex be even. Thus, for instance, the correlation $< s(x_1) \cdot s(x_2) >$ vanishes trivially.

The fundamental two-spin correlation is then $G(x_2 - x_1; \beta, n) =< (s(x_1) \cdot s(x_2))^2 >$.

In particular we have $-G(e_1; \beta, n) = E$, the energy per site.

We also have computed the moments $m^{(l)}(\beta, n)$ of the connected correlations

$$C(x_2 - x_1; \beta, n) = \sum_{a,b} < \Big(s^a(x_1)s^b(x_1) - < s^a(x_1)s^b(x_1) > \Big)\Big(s^a(x_2)s^b(x_2) - < s^a(x_2)s^b(x_2) > \Big) >= G(x_2 - x_1; \beta, n) - 1/n \tag{3}$$

which are defined as follows

$$m^{(l)}(\beta, n) = \sum_x \mid x \mid^l C(x; \beta, n) = \sum_r a_r^{(l)} \beta^r \tag{4}$$

The HTE coefficients for $G(e_1; \beta, n) = \sum_r g_r(n) \beta^r$ are:

$$g_0(n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$g_1(n) = \frac{n-1}{n^2(n+2)}$$

$$g_2(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^3(n+2)(n+4)}$$

$$g_3(n) = \frac{(n-1)(72 + 18n - 11n^2 - n^3 + n^4)}{n^4(n+2)^3(n+4)(n+6)}$$

$$g_4(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(528 + 130n - 17n^2 - 3n^3 + n^4)}{n^5(n+2)^3(n+4)(n+6)(n+8)}$$

$$g_5(n) = ((n-1)(284160 + 130496n - 104032n^2 - 53344n^3 + 6888n^4 + 5496n^5 + 474n^6 - 56n^7 - 2n^8 + n^9) /(n^6(n+2)^5(n+4)^2(n+6)(n+8)(n+10))$$

$$g_6(n) = (n-1)(n-2)(11704320 + 8093952n - 1233088n^2 - 1863104n^3 - 200776n^4 + 103840n^5 + 26210n^6 + 1386n^7 - 100n^8 - 2n^9 + n^{10})/(n^7(n+2)^5(n+4)^3(n+6)(n+8)(n+10)(n+12))$$

$$g_7(n) = (n-1)(341118812160 + 428301582336n + 17644511232n^2 - 191549657088n^3 - 76694446080n^4 + 17276826240n^5 + 16424658272n^6 + 1926697808n^7 - 951227456n^8 - 295105184n^9 - 5505626n^{10} + 10001781n^{11} + 1876337n^{12} + 133277n^{13} + 1527n^{14} - 171n^{15} + 9n^{16} + n^{17}) /(n^8(n+2)^7(n+4)^4(n+6)^3(n+8)(n+10)(n+12)(n+14))$$

$$g_8(n) = (n-1)(n-2)(1271577968640 + 1237547925504n - 87404783616n^2 - 441393059328n^3 \\ -107082739328n^4 + 37546256480n^5 + 17834481104n^6 + 440575008n^7 - 777645296n^8 \\ -105547274n^9 + 10134853n^{10} + 3591697n^{11} + 316891n^{12} + 7749n^{13} - 289n^{14} - n^{15} + n^{16}) \\ /(n^9(n+2)^7(n+4)^3(n+6)^3(n+8)(n+10)(n+12)(n+14)(n+16))$$

For $n = 3$ we have (compare with Ref.[[7]]):

$$G(e_1;\beta,3) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{45}\beta + \frac{2}{945}\beta^2 + \frac{2}{7875}\beta^3 + \frac{34}{467775}\beta^4 + \frac{13402}{2280403125}\beta^5 + \frac{10702}{47888465625}\beta^6 \\ + \frac{12179386}{142468185234375}\beta^7 + \frac{33996598}{4872411935015625}\beta^8 + ...$$

The HTE coefficients for $m^{(0)}(\beta, n)$, also called the susceptibility, are:

$$a_0^{(0)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{n}$$

$$a_1^{(0)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n^2(2+n)}$$

$$a_2^{(0)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(8+3n+n^2)}{n^3(2+n)^2(4+n)}$$

$$a_3^{(0)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(96+64n+32n^2+5n^3+n^4)}{n^4(2+n)^3(4+n)(6+n)}$$

$$a_4^{(0)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(1+n)(3456+1968n+570n^2+89n^3+9n^4+n^5)}{n^4(2+n)^4(4+n)^2(6+n)(8+n)}$$

$$a_5^{(0)}(n) = (4(n-1)(122880+101888n+40640n^2+42528n^3+35696n^4+11094n^5 \\ +1807n^6+162n^7+10n^8+n^9))/(n^6(2+n)^5(4+n)^2(6+n)(8+n)(10+n))$$

$$a_6^{(0)}(n) = (4(n-1)(-115015680-79331328n+74609664n^2+96772864n^3+44006080n^4 \\ +15702208n^5+7513312n^6+2862016n^7+648560n^8+87178n^9+7048n^{10}+364n^{11}+19n^{12}+n^{13})) \\ /(n^7(2+n)^6(4+n)^3(6+n)^2(8+n)(10+n)(12+n))$$

$$a_7^{(0)}(n) = (4(n-1)(43104337920+43866980352n-5407064064n^2-15002345472n^3+3765867520n^4 \\ +8878097920n^5+4282305280n^6+1196842912n^7+326380672n^8+97376320n^9 \\ +22123168n^{10}+3228422n^{11}+292472n^{12}+16058n^{13}+566n^{14}+23n^{15}+n^{16})) \\ /(n^8(2+n)^7(4+n)^3(6+n)^3(8+n)(10+n)(12+n)(14+n))$$

$$a_8^{(0)}(n) = (4(n-1)(-94746307461120 - 126660674322432n - 5226623926272n^2 + 66792515567616n^3 \\ +32795171340288n^4 + 48194863104n^5 - 862398014464n^6 + 2921457334912n^7 \\ +2239266005664n^8 + 790758440112n^9 + 185029551696n^{10} + 37818452512n^{11} + 7776875970n^{12} \\ +1395247971n^{13} + 184588028n^{14} + 16678488n^{15} + 985722n^{16} + 36650n^{17} + 952n^{18} + 32n^{19} + n^{20})) \\ /(n^9(2+n)^8(4+n)^4(6+n)^3(8+n)^2(10+n)(12+n)(14+n)(16+n))$$

For $n = 3$ these formulae give:

$$m^{(0)}(\beta, 3) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{45}\beta + \frac{208}{4725}\beta^2 + \frac{704}{70875}\beta^3 + \frac{12704}{5457375}\beta^4 + \frac{8254816}{15962821875}\beta^5 \\ + \frac{37545856}{335219259375}\beta^6 + \frac{10273872032}{427404555703125}\beta^7 + \frac{934133719808}{183909666174609375}\beta^8 + ...$$

The HTE coefficients for $m^{(2)}(\beta, n)$, the second correlation moment, are:

$$a_1^{(2)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n^2(2+n)}$$

$$a_2^{(2)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(28+8n+n^2)}{n^3(2+n)^2(4+n)}$$

$$a_3^{(2)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(624+344n+124n^2+15n^3+n^4)}{n^4(2+n)^3(4+n)(6+n)}$$

$$a_4^{(2)}(n) = \frac{4(n-1)(52224+57856n+37760n^2+13200n^3+2844n^4+376n^5+25n^6+n^7)}{n^5(2+n)^4(4+n)^2(6+n)(8+n)}$$

$$a_5^{(2)}(n) = (4(n-1)(1044480+1553408n+1394176n^2+692768n^3+237584n^4+50998n^5 \\ +7107n^6+642n^7+30n^8+n^9))/(n^6(2+n)^5(4+n)^2(6+n)(8+n)(10+n))$$

$$a_6^{(2)}(n) = (4(n-1)(420249600+1092464640n+1523555328n^2+1255855616n^3+710497728n^4 \\ +285572064n^5+84264528n^6+18404144n^7+2909092n^8+327054n^9+25803n^{10}+1354n^{11}+44n^{12}+n^{13})) \\ /(n^7(2+n)^6(4+n)^3(6+n)^2(8+n)(10+n)(12+n))$$

$$a_7^{(2)}(n) = (4(n-1)(83979141120+204309430272n+291728203776n^2+300109848576n^3 \\ +232510854656n^4+131053062400n^5+54800469376n^6+17324337248n^7+4212618016n^8 \\ +794772080n^9+114865432n^{10}+12396858n^{11}+977532n^{12}+55028n^{13}+2106n^{14}+53n^{15}+n^{16})) \\ /(n^8(2+n)^7(4+n)^3(6+n)^3(8+n)(10+n)(12+n)(14+n))$$

$$a_8^{(2)}(n) = (4(n-1)(-58788371496960+8364704661504n+280171118592000n^2+479504520511488n^3 \\ +464202911416320n^4+329227765829632n^5+188047485044736n^6+87029638424064n^7 \\ +32173730443520n^8+9456558685824n^9+2219800018368n^{10}+419325652576n^{11}+63930454192n^{12} \\ +7800108776n^{13}+746995212n^{14}+54841620n^{15}+3013992n^{16}+120454n^{17}+3374n^{18}+67n^{19}+n^{20})) \\ /(n^9(2+n)^8(4+n)^4(6+n)^3(8+n)^2(10+n)(12+n)(14+n)(16+n))$$

In particular, the HT expansion of $m^{(2)}(\beta,3)$ is:

$$m^{(2)}(\beta,3)=\frac{8}{45}\beta+\frac{488}{4725}\beta^2+\frac{2896}{70875}\beta^3+\frac{1123712}{81860625}\beta^4+\frac{67018144}{15962821875}\beta^5+\frac{2023066384}{1676096296875}\beta^6 \\ +\frac{12824336768}{38854959609375}\beta^7+\frac{18110407484144}{208430954997890625}\beta^8+...$$

A correlation length may be defined, as usual, in terms of the ratio of $m^{(2)}(\beta,n)$ and $m^{(0)}(\beta,n)$.

Let us notice that a few simple checks of the formulae are possible: all HTE of the connected correlations have to vanish for $n=1$ because of the triviality of the RP^0 model. For $n=2$, the expansions should reduce to the corresponding ones for the $O(2)$ (or XY-) vector model. Finally, for $n=3$ the HTE of $C(e_1;\beta,n)$ agrees with the calculation of Ref.[[7]].

Our HTE have been computed from the Schwinger-Dyson equations of the model, an infinite system of linear equations among the correlation functions. The generic equation, which may be deduced following closely Ref.[[14]], has the structure

$$<\phi(C)>=\frac{1}{n+g_1-2}\Big[\beta\sum_{\mu}(<\phi(C^-_\mu)>-<\phi(C^+_\mu)>)+(b_{12}-1)<\phi(C_{12,12})>-\sum_{j=3}^{n}b_{1j}<\phi(C^{2j}_{12,1j})>\Big] \tag{5}$$

Here we have assumed that the vertices x_1 and x_2 are connected by one line at least, g_1 is the degree of the vertex x_1, b_{ij} the number of lines connecting the vertices x_i and x_j, $<\phi(C^-_\mu)>$ denotes the correlation function obtained from $<\phi(C)>$ by removing a factor $s(x_1)\cdot s(x_2)$ and replacing it by $s(x_1)\cdot s(x_{1+\mu})s(x_2)\cdot s(x_{1+\mu})$, namely

$$\phi(C^-_\mu)=\phi(C)\frac{s(x_1)\cdot s(x_{1+\mu})s(x_2)\cdot s(x_{1+\mu})}{s(x_1)\cdot s(x_2)} \tag{6}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(C^+_\mu)&=\phi(C)((s(x_1)\cdot s(x_{1+\mu}))^2\\ \phi(C_{12,12})&=\frac{\phi(C)}{(s(x_1)\cdot s(x_2))^2}\\ \phi(C^{2j}_{12,1j})&=\phi(C)\frac{s(x_2)\cdot s(x_j)}{s(x_1)\cdot s(x_2)s(x_1)\cdot s(x_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

The HTE of the correlation $<\phi(C)>$ is obtained solving iteratively eqs.(5) by the same procedure as in the case of the $n-$vector model[[14]]. Here however, a difficulty is met: while in the case of the $n-$vector model a large fraction of the graphs generated after the first few iterations can be neglected, in this case, due to the local Z_2 symmetry, all graphs contribute nontrivially to the final results and therefore must be recorded. Thus the required computer memory rapidly becomes exceedingly large and it is difficult to push the expansion beyond the 8-th order. However not all the blame should be laid upon the computational technique since the combinatorial complexity of the expansion is really higher and of a faster growth with the order than in the $n-$vector case. It is also interesting to recall that analogous difficulties were met when performing strong coupling expansions in the Hamiltonian formalism[[6]].

A simple analysis of the series by ratio and Padé approximants methods[[17]] (see Fig.1 and Fig.2) suggests the existence of a critical point when $n\approx 2$, but, unfortunately, the series seem to be not long enough to warrant any reasonably safe conclusion when $n=3$ or greater. To be sure, for various values of n there are some Padé approximants of the susceptibility having a real positive singularity or a complex conjugate pair of singularities nearby the real positive β axis and in the expected position. The same happens for the logarithmic derivative of the susceptibility. These poles however, at this order of approximation, are not stable enough to enable us to exclude the possibility of an artifact of low order approximants to mimic the steep increase of the susceptibility. Thus some completely different scenarios are still compatible with our series, for instance:

a) in analogy with the behavior of the $n-$vector model[[14]] a critical point exist for $n\approx 2$. As n is increased and varied through some $\tilde{n}\leq 3$, the critical point might split into an unphysical pair of complex conjugate singularities so that the model becomes asymptotically free for $n\geq 3$. This conjecture might be supported both by the alternate ratios plots of Fig. 1, which seem to show the onset of an oscillatory trend [[17]] and by some Padé approximants to the susceptibility or its log-derivative whose nearest singularities in the right half β plane are complex.

b) a critical point exists for all n as suggested by Ref.[[2]].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our thanks are due to Sergio Caracciolo for suggesting to undertake this computation and to Alan Sokal for further encouragement and useful discussions. We also are indebted to U. Wolff for a useful discussion and for kindly permitting us to use his unpublished MonteCarlo data in Fig.2. Finally we thank A. J. Guttmann and G. Marchesini for carefully reading a draft of this note. Our work has been partially supported by MURST.

[1] W. Maier, A. Saupe, Z. Naturforsch. **14A**, 882 (1959); **15A**, 287 (1960); P. A. Lebwohl, G. Lasher, Phys. Rev. A **6**, 426 (1972); M. J. Stephen, J. P. Straley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46**, 617 (1974); P. G. de Gennes, *The Physics of liquid crystals* , (London, Oxford University Press 1974). M. Plischke, M. Bergersen, *Equilibrium Statistical Physics*, (Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall 1989).

[2] H.Kunz and G. Zumbach, J. Phys. A **22**, L1043 (1989); H.Kunz and G. Zumbach, Phys. Lett. B **257**, 299 (1991).

[3] J. Kogut, M. Snow, M. Stone, Nucl. Phys. B **215[FS7]**, 45 (1983).

[4] R. D. Mountain, Th. W. Ruijgrok, Physica **89A**, 522 (1977).

[5] S. Duane, M. B. Green, Phys. Lett. B **103**, 359 (1981).

[6] S. Solomon, Phys. Lett. B **100**, 492 (1981).

[7] M. Fukugita, M. Kobayashi, M. Okawa, Y.Oyanagi, A. Ukawa, Phys. Lett. B **109**, 209 (1982).

[8] D. K. Sinclair, Nucl. Phys. B **205[FS5]**, 173 (1982).

[9] M. Caselle, F. Gliozzi, Phys. Lett. B **147**, 132 (1984); M. Caselle, Zeit. fur Phys. C **28**, 233 (1985).

[10] C. Chiccoli, P. Pasini, C. Zannoni, Physica **148A**, 298 (1988).

[11] U. Wolff, unpublished work

[12] S. Caracciolo, A. Pelissetto, A. D. Sokal, work in progress.

[13] J. Goodman, A. D. Sokal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1015 (1986); R. H. Swendsen, J. S. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 86 (1987); U. Wolff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 361 (1989); J. Goodman, A. D. Sokal, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2035 (1989); A. D. Sokal, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **20**, 55 (1991).

[14] P. Butera, M. Comi and G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. **B300 [FS22]**, 1 (1988); P. Butera, M. Comi and G. Marchesini, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 11494 (1990).

[15] N. M. Mermin, H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1137 (1966).

[16] S. Elitzur, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 3978 (1975).

[17] G. A. Baker and D. L. Hunter, Phys. Rev. B**7**, 3346, 3377(1973); D. S. Gaunt, A.J. Guttmann in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, C. Domb and M. S. Green Eds. Vol. 3, (London, Academic Press 1974); A. J. Guttmann in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, C. Domb and J. Lebowitz Eds. Vol.13, (London, Academic Press 1989).

FIG. 1. Alternate ratios $\bar{r}_s(n) = (a^{(0)}_{s-1}(n)/a^{(0)}_{s+1}(n))^{1/2}$ of the expansion coefficients of the susceptibility for various values of the spin dimensionality n are plotted versus $1/s$. Going from the lower plot to the upper, we have $n = 2, 3, .., 8$.

FIG. 2. The susceptibility for $n = 3$ at order β^8 vs. β. The continuous curve shows the [4/4] Padé approximant (which is singular at $\beta \approx 5.175 \pm 0.315i$). The dashed curve shows the sum of the susceptibility series truncated at order β^8. The squares represent data from the MonteCarlo simulation of Ref. [[7]]. The triangles represent unpublished data from a MonteCarlo cluster simulation performed by U. Wolff[[11]] on lattices of size up to 256^2.